Government Technical Consultation Executive Summary

The following is a summary of what officers consider to be the most significant proposals in the Government's 'Technical Consultation on Planning' and officers' proposed responses.

<u>Gov. Proposal</u> – To make the make the permitted development (PD) rights that allow offices to convert to residential use permanent. To abolish the exemptions from this PD right and instead allow local authorities to consider the 'potential impact of the significant loss of the most strategically important office accommodation' (question 2.5, p8).

And – To allow buildings in light industrial (B1c) and storage and distribution (B8) uses to convert to residential use under PD (question 2.1, p5).

Summary of response - officers recommend that the Council lodges an objection to this proposal. It weakens the ability of local authorities to allocate and protect the employment land necessary to sustain and grow local economies. The response refers to the concerns of the Kent and Medway Federation of Small Businesses expressed to the Council when the Government previously consulted on these proposals. The Government sees the proposal as tackling underused commercial buildings but there is no test proposed to consider whether the building is underused. There is a proposed 'prior approval' test to consider the impact of the loss of offices on the local economy but there is insufficient detail to determine whether or not this will be effective. We suggest that the prior approval process is not the appropriate mechanism to consider this but that if Government is minded to introduce the proposal then a further round of consultation on the exact test is necessary. We suggest that an impact assessment of the existing office to residential permitted development rights trial is needed before a permanent change to allow this is made. Allowing these changes of use through permitted development will remove the Council's ability to seek affordable housing through these proposals. If the Government wants to give more support for changes from under-occupied commercial buildings to residential then it is suggested that it should update the NPPF to do this in order that the issues can be properly considered through the development management process.

<u>Gov. Proposal</u> – To make PD rights that allow larger residential extensions permanent (single storey 4-8m for detached properties and 3-6m for any other property) (question 2.7, p10).

Summary of response – officers recommend that the Council opposes this proposal. The prior approval process does not allow for the views of all interested parties to be considered (for example town and parish councils). Extensions of these sizes are often disproportionate to existing dwellings and, therefore inappropriate in the Green Belt. It is

queried whether the PD rights save householders money given that the main expense is getting plans drawn up, which they still need to do for building regs approval.

Gov. Proposal – To allow applicants to serve notice on the local authority for 'deemed discharge' of planning conditions if it fails to discharge a condition within 6 weeks. Following a notice being served, the local authority would have a further 2 weeks to determine whether the condition has been discharged. If it does not, the condition will be deemed to have been discharged (question 3.1, p18).

Summary of response – The Council follows the Government's recently published guidance on the use of conditions. It is important for Local Planning Authorities to have the appropriate time to assess the details submitted under these conditions. This sometimes requires consideration by external bodies, such as ecologists, archaeologists or the Environment Agency. There is a risk that through assuming a deemed consent a developer will undertake work in breach of other legislation.

<u>Gov. Proposal</u> – To introduce a limit of 10 weeks for local authorities to decide whether a neighbourhood plan area is appropriate. Within this 10 weeks the local authority would need to undertake a 6 week consultation (question 1.1, p1).

Summary of response – This is unnecessary as the town and parish councils can undertake much background work on plans before areas are formally designated. It is more efficient to consult on neighbourhood areas alongside other consultations. SDC's experience is that where there are changes to parish boundaries proposed (as in Shoreham / Badgers Mount) this can require more careful consideration and negotiation, which takes more time.

Gov. Proposal – To remove a requirement for town and parish councils to consult for a minimum of 6 weeks prior to submission of a neighbourhood plan to the local planning authority and to replace this with a test at examination to consider the 'nature and adequacy of consultation' (question 1.6, p2 and 1.10, p3).

Summary of response – This will give greater flexibility to town and parish councils to decide how they consult when preparing neighbourhood plans. This is supported.

Gov. Proposal – To widen the A1 use class (shops) to include financial and professional services (A2 uses), such as banks, estate agents, employment agencies and solicitors so that shops could change to these uses without the need for planning permission. Betting shops and payday loan shops would remain in A2 use (question 2.8, p12).

Summary of response - this isn't supported on the basis that it could undermine the range of uses in town centres by allowing more estate agents, solicitors offices and banks in main shopping areas of town centres. Because it may lead to greater competition and higher rents for smaller retail units, the proposal may have an adverse

impact on small and independent retailers. Appropriate policies that allow flexibility but seek to retain shopping 'cores' of town centres are considered more appropriate.

Other significant proposals

Government Proposal	Proposed Response
Allow laundrettes, amusement	Recognise that many of these changes
arcades/centres, casinos and nightclubs to	would have little impact in Sevenoaks
change use to residential (C3)	District but laundrettes may be seen as a
	valued local service.
Allow shops, financial services, laundrettes,	Object. Loss of a high number of shops in
amusement arcades/centres, casinos and	particular could undermine town centres.
nightclubs to change use to residential (C3)	Appropriate policies, with flexibility, are
	needed instead.
Allow shops, financial services, laundrettes,	Object. Loss of a high number of shops in
amusement arcades/centres, casinos and	particular could undermine town centres.
nightclubs to change use to assembly and	Appropriate policies, with flexibility, are
leisure uses (D2)	needed instead.
Support larger mezzanine floors in shops	Support in town centres
Strengthening parking policies to tackle on	Agree but response notes that changes
street parking	need to be made to the NPPF
PD for solar panels on commercial	Support subject to conditions restricting
properties	protrusion beyond roof slope, glare and
	distance to a neighbouring property
PD for larger extensions for shops, financial	Concern that this is proposed without any
and professional services, offices,	assessment of the impact of the existing
industrial and warehouse buildings	trial period.
Compensation to be paid by the local	Object. Article 4 directions are necessary
authority where an Article 4 direction	in some circumstances to prevent
removes PD rights	inappropriate developments under PD.
Requirement to share draft conditions with	Object. This could lead to delays for
applicants before a decision is made	Councils and developers in issuing
	decisions and make additional work.
	Current informal processes to achieve the
	same goals are considered preferable.
Local authorities to be required to justify	This is already required by national
the use of pre-commencement conditions	guidance.
Statutory consultees having the flexibility	Object. It is appropriate that all issues are
not to be consulted on an application	considered together formally through an
where technical issues were resolved at	application and that this is done so in the
pre-app stage.	context of public consultation.